Document 1

Filed 03/06/2009

Page 1 of 18

hActive Civil Library/EA/LIT/Complaint, Doca

Case 2:09-cv-01598-MMM-RZ

COMPLAINT

#### INTRODUCTION

This action arises out of Defendant's unauthorized advertisement and 1 sale of products bearing the identity and likeness of plaintiff Jim Brown ("Plaintiff"), in willful and conscious disregard of the rights of Plaintiff. Specifically, Plaintiff asserts that his common law rights in his name under Section 43 of the Lanham Act and his common law right of privacy have been invaded by the unauthorized appropriation of his likeness by Defendant in multiple editions of its popular video game series, Madden NFL, including but not limited to, its 2008 edition (hereinafter "Madden '08"). Moreover, Plaintiff alleges that EA's practice of purposefully using the likeness of retired National Football League ("NFL") players like himself in select editions of Madden NFL without prior authorization constitutes an unfair business practice in violation of California's Unfair Competition Law, codified in Business and Professions Code, Section 17200, et seq. ("UCL"). As a proximate result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff has sustained and will continue to sustain injury and damages, in an amount to be proved at trial.

## **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- This is a civil action arising under the laws of the United States relating 2. to trademarks (15 U.S.C. Sections 1125(a)). This Court has jurisdiction over the First Cause of Action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Sections 1331 and 1338(a) and (b). This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over the Second through Fourth Causes of Action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1367.
- Personal jurisdiction over Defendant is proper because it is a Delaware 3. corporation with its principal place of business in California and Defendant has purposefully availed itself of the privilege of conducting business activities within the State of California by employing workers within California and selling its goods and services within the State. Defendant generally has maintained systematic and continuous business contacts with California.

26

Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391, as 4. Defendant has sufficient minimum contacts for the exercise of personal jurisdiction in this district.

### **PARTIES**

- 5. Plaintiff is a citizen of the State of California residing at 1875 Sunset Plaza, Los Angeles, California.
- 6. Defendant is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, which its principal place of business at 209 Redwood Shores Parkway, Redwood City, California 94065.
- At all relevant times herein, DOES 1-50 inclusive, were fictitious names 7. for individuals, partnerships, joint ventures, corporations, limited liability corporations or other forms of legal entities, the identities of which are unknown at the present but who are liable to the Plaintiff for committing the acts and/or omissions mentioned herein. The DOES defendants specifically include all members of upper management of EA who participated in or failed to remediate the wrongful acts.

## **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- Plaintiff played in the NFL for nine seasons, enjoying a record setting 8. career for the Cleveland Browns franchise from 1957 to 1965.
- Plaintiff has been known to the public and particularly to football fans for over forty years as the greatest football player of all time. Plaintiff is most readily identified as the all star and record breaking running back for the Cleveland Browns franchise (presently the Baltimore Ravens) from 1957 to 1965. He is widely considered the best running back of all time. In 2002, the Sporting News named Plaintiff the greatest football player ever, and Plaintiff is often credited by sports analysts and pundits with changing the position of running back to what it is known as today.
- 10. In addition to being recognized as one of the greatest professional football players in the history of the NFL, Brown has also been widely hailed as one

4 5

On www.iptrademarkattorney.com

Hosted Posted Po

26

25

28

27

of the greatest lacrosse players that the United States has ever produced, with the National Lacrosse Hall of Fame stating that he was "widely considered to be the greatest lacrosse player ever." It was this dual recognition of his athletic abilities that led renowned sportswriter Bert Sugar to list Brown at the top of his list of the greatest sports stars in his book The Greatest Athletes of All Time.

- Plaintiff is regarded as one of the most influential and accomplished athletes of all time. He has been inducted into the NFL Hall of Fame, College Football Hall of Fame and the Lacrosse Hall of Fame.
- In addition to his record-breaking exploits as a professional NFL player, 12. Plaintiff has achieved significant fame and recognition as a star of both film and television over the last four decades. From guest appearances on the highly popular 1960's television series I Spy to supporting roles in critically acclaimed films as diverse as 1967's Dirty Dozen and Oliver Stone's 1999 NFL drama Any Given Sunday, Plaintiff has ingrained himself among the American public as a well known and readily identifiable public figure.
- 13. Due to his wide ranging achievements on and off the football field, there was great demand in the literary world for a book about Plaintiff's remarkable life story. In 1989, Plaintiff's autobiography, Out of Bounds was published by Zebra Books and became a best seller.
- Plaintiff currently works with kids caught up in the gang scene in Los 14. Angeles, California and Cleveland, Ohio, through the Amer-I-Can program which he founded in 1988. It is a life management skills organization that operates in inner cities and prisons which has achieved considerable success in its twenty years for offering inner city youth an alternative to gang life, and has garnered significant media coverage for its accomplishments over the years, due in no small part to the celebrity status of its founder.
- Accordingly, Plaintiff is widely recognized by the public at large for his 15. exploits as a football player, actor, and social activist.

16.

C 20

25

27

28

26

substantial time, energy and effort in developing and promoting his professional skills, colorful persona, and distinctive personality. As a result of Plaintiff's efforts -- as well as his considerable achievements, success and notoriety - he is regarded as the greatest running back that has ever played for the Cleveland Browns, as well as one of the most talented running backs to have played professional football. Plaintiff Never Signed Away any Likeness Rights to Defendant

Through a long and distinguished football career, Plaintiff invested

- Today, it is customary that players sign away their name and likeness 17. rights to the NFL Player's Union in exchange for compensation that is negotiated by the player's designated representative, such as an agent or lawyer. However, when Plaintiff played professional football, the NFL had a league wide policy that prohibited players from using lawyers or agents when negotiating compensation. Moreover, neither the NFL Player's Union nor video games existed at the time Plaintiff played professional football, so likeness rights for video games, such as Defendant's Madden NFL, were not contemplated during any of Plaintiff's negotiations with the Cleveland Browns during his time in the NFL.
- Indeed, Plaintiff has never authorized the use of his name or likeness to 18. be used in connection with Defendant's Madden NFL video game series, nor any other digital medium.
- On or about November 12, 2008, Plaintiff learned that EA knowingly, 19. intentionally and fraudulently used Plaintiff's likeness in its Madden '08 video game without obtaining any permission or license from Plaintiff for said use. After learning of EA's appropriation of Plaintiff's likeness in Madden '08, Plaintiff, through his representatives, discovered that Plaintiff's likeness was also used by Defendant in various editions of Madden NFL dating back to 2001, as well as the most recent edition, which was released in August 2008.

C 20

27

## Defendant's Use of the Plaintiff's Likeness in Connection with the Sale of its <u>Madden NFL Video Game</u>

- EA is the number one publisher of video games in North America, 20. reporting slightly over 4 billion dollars in revenue for fiscal year 2008.
- EA engages in the manufacture, distribution, and sale of an immensely popular video game series entitled Madden NFL, named after the legendary NFL coach. The series began in 1989 and has enjoyed monumental success as one of the top selling video games of all times and has contributed significantly to EA's annual overall revenue.
- 22. The popularity of the Madden NFL series over the last twenty years is easily demonstrated by the fact that the 2009 edition of Madden NFL sold over 2 million copies alone in its first month of sale.
- 23. Plaintiff has never entered into any agreement in which he signed away his rights of publicity and/or likeness to be used in connection with Madden NFL or any other video game produced by Defendant.

## The Continuing Harm to Plaintiff as a Result of Defendant's Conduct

- Defendants' wrongful conduct as alleged herein has caused Plaintiff to 24. suffer injuries under state and common law for violations of his right of publicity due to his loss of control over the use of his likeness, causing him to lose monetary compensation as the appropriation of his likeness by Defendant has conferred a substantial pecuniary benefit onto Defendant through increased sales of select editions of its Madden NFL video game that feature Plaintiff.
- Defendant's misappropriation and use of plaintiff's identity in 25. conjunction with the manufacture, distribution and sale of its Madden NFL video games is likely to cause confusion among the general public about Plaintiff's endorsement of Defendant's products. In particular, consumers are likely to believe that multiple editions of Defendant's Madden NFL video game, are authorized,

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

sponsored, approved, or otherwise related to Plaintiff and his licensees when in fact they are not.

- 26. On October 3, 2008 Defendant represented to Plaintiff's representatives that it had not used Plaintiff's name and/or likeness in any edition of Madden NFL that has been released within the last five years. In November 2008, Plaintiff discovered, through his representatives, that Defendant had in fact appropriated his likeness in the 2008 edition of Madden NFL. Shortly thereafter, Plaintiff's representatives discovered that Plaintiff's likeness had been used in the 2009 edition of Madden NFL, as well as in previous editions dating back to 2001.
- Plaintiff has been, and continues to be injured by Defendant's deceptive 27. and misleading conduct and unlawful acts.

#### FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

## (Violation of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. 1125(a) - Unfair Competition)

- Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the allegations contained in the 28. foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
  - 29. Plaintiff has exclusive ownership rights in his own name and likeness.
- 30. Plaintiff's name and likeness have considerable commercial value based on his status as one of the greatest running backs to have ever played professional football, one of the greatest lacrosse players of all times, as well as an extensive career as an actor in film and television spanning four decades.
- Plaintiff has a reasonable interest in the commercial value of his likeness 31. based on the fact that he has retained exclusive ownership and control in his likeness; he can only relinquish control in his likeness through licensing; and he has the right to compensation for use and distribution of his likeness.
- Defendant sold multiple editions of its Madden NFL video game which 32. depicted Plaintiff's likeness.
- Plaintiff did not license his likeness to Defendant for use in any edition of 33. Madden NFL.

26

- The public is likely to conclude, however, that the depiction of Plaintiff's 34. likeness in certain editions of Madden NFL signifies his endorsement or approval of the video game.
- Thus, there is a strong likelihood of confusion regarding the source of the 35. video game.
- 36. Defendant's unauthorized use of Plaintiff's likeness in multiple editions of Madden NFL and its distribution of these editions to the general public impaired Plaintiff's reasonable interest in the commercial value of licensing and merchandising his likeness.
- Defendant's use of Plaintiff's likeness in various editions of Madden 37. NFL and its distribution of the same to the general public also deprived Plaintiff of the goodwill stemming from the public knowledge of the true source of the products that bear Plaintiff's likeness.
- Based on the foregoing, Plaintiff seeks compensatory damages in an 38. amount to be determined at trial, representing three times the amount of Defendant's profits and Plaintiff's lost licensing fees, plus interest, costs of suit, and attorney fees.

### SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

## (Invasion of Privacy- Appropriation of Likeness)

- Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the allegations contained in the 39. foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 40. Defendant has knowingly invaded Plaintiff's right to privacy by appropriating and incorporating Plaintiff's identity and likeness into numerous editions of its video game, Madden NFL.
- Defendant invaded Plaintiff's rights intentionally and with full and 41. complete knowledge that their use of Plaintiff's identity was unauthorized and without the prior license or consent of Plaintiff.
- Defendant's conduct involved the appropriation of Plaintiff's likeness 42. because the use of Plaintiff's image from his playing days implied that he acquiesced

D<sub>21</sub> S<sub>22</sub> O<sub>23</sub>

28

to the inclusion of his likeness in several editions of Madden NFL and that he was compensated by EA for granting such permission.

- Defendant invaded Plaintiff's rights of privacy and publicity in order to 43. generate interest for the editions of Madden NFL that feature retired NFL players among football fans of all ages by giving them the opportunity to pit legendary teams from past years against the top teams of today, thereby increasing sales of Defendant's already immensely popular video game.
- Defendant's conversion of Plaintiff's right of publicity usurps Plaintiff's 44. ability to control his public image and dilutes his ability to effectively market such image, all to the detriment of Plaintiff's reputation and goodwill.
- Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that, as a 45. proximate result of Defendant's wrongful use of Plaintiff's likeness in connection with its publicity, advertising and sale of its products, goods, merchandise and services, as alleged herein, Plaintiff has been and/or will be deprived of monetary sums in an amount to be determined at trial.
- Defendant's actions, as described herein, were committed maliciously, 46. intentionally and with a willful and conscious disregard of Plaintiff's rights, making an award of punitive damages appropriate in order to punish and deter Defendant from engaging in the conduct alleged herein.
- Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that unless 47. restrained by this Court, the Defendant will continue to infringe Plaintiff's right of publicity by continuing to use his likeness in future editions of Madden NFL.

## THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Invasion of Privacy in Violation of Cal. Civ. Code § 3344 -**Appropriation of Likeness for Commercial Purposes)** 

- Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the allegations contained in the 48. foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
  - On or about August 2007, Defendant, knowingly and without Plaintiff's 49.

26

27

prior consent, invaded Plaintiff's right to privacy by appropriating Plaintiff's likeness by including a digital representation of Plaintiff in its 2008 edition of the Madden NFL video game.

- Plaintiff did not become aware of Defendant's appropriation of his 50. likeness in Madden '08 until November 2008.
- 51. Shortly thereafter, Plaintiff became aware that his likeness had been used by Defendant in earlier editions of Madden NFL as well as the 2009 edition.
- The appropriations of Plaintiff's likeness were unauthorized and without 52. the prior consent of Plaintiff.
- Defendant's conduct involved the appropriation of various physical 53. attributes of Plaintiff, including but not limited to, his physical stature, running ability, and other inherently distinctive physical attributes which make Plaintiff readily identifiable to players of Madden '08 and other editions of Madden NFL which feature digital representations of Plaintiff.
- The appropriation by Defendant was for the purpose of increasing sales 54. of its highly popular video game, Madden NFL, by using famous retired NFL players, like Plaintiff, to advertise to its customers the ability of simulating professional football games featuring classic NFL teams from years past and pitting them against NFL teams of the current era. The success of this strategy has resulted in impressive sales of several editions of Madden NFL, which give football fans the opportunity to pit the greatest players of the game from past years, like Plaintiff, against the top NFL players of today.
- Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that, as a 55. proximate result of advantage accruing to Defendant from its appropriation of Plaintiff's likeness, and as a proximate result of the Defendant's wrongful use of Plaintiff's likeness in connection with the publicity, advertising and sale of its products, goods, merchandise and services, as alleged herein, Plaintiff has been and/or will be deprived of monetary sums in an amount to be determined at trial.

26

- Defendant's actions, as described herein, were committed maliciously, 56. intentionally and with a willful and conscious disregard of Plaintiff's rights, making an award of punitive damages appropriate in order to punish and deter Defendant from engaging in the conduct alleged herein.
- Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that unless 57. restrained by this Court, the Defendant will continue to infringe Plaintiff's right of publicity by continuing to use his likeness in future editions of Madden NFL.

## **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

## (Unfair and Unlawful Business Practices in Violation of Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200 et seq.)

- Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the allegations contained in the 58. foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- California Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200 prohibits acts of unfair 59. competition, which include any "unlawful, unfair or fraudulent business practice."
- By intentionally appropriating the likeness and identities of retired NFL 60. players like Plaintiff, who have never agreed to, nor ever contemplated, authorizing the use of their likeness to be used in connection with a video game, such as Defendant's Madden NFL, Defendant has deceived consumers into reasonably believing that all of the former players depicted in various editions of Madden NFL have consented to the use of their likeness in the game, thereby creating a likelihood that the Defendant's customers, potential customers, and the public generally will be confused or misled as to the source of said endorsement by Plaintiff and other retired NFL players of Defendant's products, merchandise, goods or services. This conduct constitutes unlawful, unfair and fraudulent business practices within the meaning of the California Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200.
- Specifically, Defendant has violated Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200's 61. prohibition against engaging in unlawful, unfair or fraudulent acts or practices by:

- Failing to disclose to its customers that Plaintiff has not consented a. to the use of his likeness in connection with various editions of Madden NFL, including but not limited to Madden '08;
- Intentionally appropriating the likeness of Plaintiff and other retired NFL players who never contemplated or authorized the use of their likeness and identities to be used in connection with select editions of Defendant's Madden NFL so that it could enhance sales of its video game by advertising to its customers that digital approximations of Plaintiff and other former NFL players could be used by its customers to simulate professional football games featuring classic NFL teams; and
- Engaging in this deceptive practice for the sole purpose of reaping windfall profits at the expense of Plaintiff by wrongfully appropriating his likeness, in violation of Cal. Civ. Code § 3344 and 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a), in order to enhance sales of its Madden NFL video game by misleading the public that Plaintiff endorsed the use of his likeness in certain editions of Madden NFL, including but not limited to, Madden '08.
- The unlawful, unfair and deceptive business practices of Defendant as 62. alleged herein have harmed Plaintiff, and Defendant has derived substantial revenue as a result of the unlawful conduct alleged herein.
- The rights of Plaintiff have been violated by Defendant's unlawful, unfair 63. and deceptive acts and practices, and Defendant has been, and will continue to be, unjustly enriched by the unlawful conduct as alleged herein unless and until the Court provides the relief prayed for below.
- As a direct and proximate result of the unlawful conduct alleged herein, 64. Defendant has been unjustly enriched, and has received and continue to hold ill-gotten gains, in a total amount to be determined at trial. Defendant should be required to disgorge such ill-gotten gains.
  - Plaintiff is informed and believes and, on that basis, alleges that unless 65.

27

25

restrained by this Court, Defendant will continue to infringe Plaintiff's rights of publicity. Otherwise absent such injunctive relief, irreparable harm to Plaintiff is likely to occur, and pecuniary compensation will not afford Plaintiff adequate relief for the damage to Plaintiff's good will and reputation as a result of Defendant's unauthorized appropriation of his likeness.

## WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment as follows:

- a. For an order by the Court enjoining Defendant and his agents, servants, and employees, and all such persons and/or entities acting under, in concert with, or for it from using the likeness of Plaintiff in connection with any of its products or in connection with the marketing, distribution or advertising of any of its products, including but not limited to, the Madden NFL video game series;
- b. An order requiring Defendant: (a) to cease the acts of unfair competition alleged herein; (b) to seek authorization from retired NFL players, like Plaintiff, before using their likenesses in its products; and (c) to comply with this State's statutory and common law rights of publicity concerning the use of one's likeness for pecuniary profit or gain;
- c. An order requiring Defendant to deliver all advertising, merchandise, promotional materials, magazines, and any and all things bearing Plaintiff's likeness to Plaintiff for destruction or other disposition by Plaintiff:
- d. Plaintiff also requests that Defendant be made to account to Plaintiff for all sales of Madden NFL and all related merchandise, featuring Plaintiff's likeness to the date of judgment herein in order that the Court may order the disgorgement of all profits earned by Defendant as a result of its wrongful acts or such other amount as the Court shall find to be just according to the circumstances of the case:

25

27 28

e. For general damages according to proof at trial;

f. For special damages according to proof at trial;

g. For exemplary or punitive damages against Defendant for their oppressive, fraudulent, and malicious conduct:

h. Pre-judgment and post-judgment interest at the maximum rate allowable at law;

i. The costs and disbursements incurred by Plaintiff in connection with this action, including reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit; and

Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

#### JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury.

DATED: March 6, 2009

KALCHEIM LAW GROUP, P.C.

MITCH KALCHEIM Attorneys for Plaintiff

MCKENNA & MCILWAIN, LLP

Timothy McIlwain (Pending Pro Hac ViceApproval)
Keith McKenna (Pending Pro Hac Vice Approval)

96 Park Street

Montclair, New Jersey 07042 Telephoné: (973)509-0050

Fax: (973)509-3580 Attorneys for Plaintiff

14

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

#### NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District Judge Margaret M. Morrow and the assigned discovery Magistrate Judge is Ralph Zarefsky.

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

CV09- 1598 MMM (RZx)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.

All discovery related motions should be noticed on the calendar of the Magistrate Judge

#### **NOTICE TO COUNSEL**

A copy of this notice must be served with the summons and complaint on all defendants (if a removal action is filed, a copy of this notice must be served on all plaintiffs).

Subsequent documents must be filed at the following location:

[X] Western Division 312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8 Los Angeles, CA 90012 Southern Division
411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053
Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516

Eastern Division 3470 Twelfth St., Rm. 134 Riverside, CA 92501

Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.

Case 2:09-cv-01598-MMM-RZ Docume	nt 1 Filed 03/06/2009 Page 16 of 18			
·				
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
DAMES "JIM" BROWN PLAINTIFF(S) v.	CASE NUMBER			
DI A DITTETO				
PLAINTIFF(S) v.	CV09 01598 MMM (RZX)			
ELECTRONIC ARTS, INC., A DELAWARE	GIO, OI) OMMM (RZX)			
OFFICERTION AND DOES 1-10				
	SUMMONS			
DEFENDANT(S).	SOMMONS			
# The second sec				
DEFENDANT(S): Electronic Arts, Inc. 2  A lawsuit has been filed against you.  Within _20_ days after service of this summon that serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached of the stracked of the strac				
: DEFENDANT(S): Electronic Arts, Inc. 2				
A lawsuit has been filed against you.				
Within 20 days after service of this summor	ns on you (not counting the day you received it), you			
ounterclaim  cross-claim or a motion under Rule 1	CONTRACT TO A TANK TO THE PARTY OF THE PARTY			
or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney, MITCH KALCHEIM, whose address is 200 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SUITE 508, BEVERLY HILLS, CA 90212. If you fail to do so,				
judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file				
your answer or motion with the court.				
Dated: 3/6/09	Clerk, U.S. District Court			
Dated: 3/6/09	By: Nowsell			
S	Deputy Clerk			
	(Seal of the Court)			
	•			
[Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United States	agency, or is an officer or employee of the United States. Allowed			
60 days by Rule 12(a)(3)].	S S. Comproyect by the Officea States. Allowed			

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Case Number: CV09-1598 MMM (R7x)

AFTER COMPLETING THE FRONT SIDE OF FORM CV-71, COMPLETE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED BELOW.

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASES: Has this action been previously filed in this court and dismissed, remanded or closed? ▼No □ Yes If yes, list case number(s):					
VIII(b). RELATED CASES: Have any cases been previously filed in this court that are related to the present case? VNo					
(Check all b	vil cases are deemed related if a previously filed case and the present case:  neck all boxes that apply)  A. Arise from the same or closely related transactions, happenings, or events; or  B. Call for determination of the same or substantially related or similar questions of law and fact; or  C. For other reasons would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges; or  D. Involve the same patent, trademark or copyright, and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.				
IX. VINUE: (When completing the following information, use an additional sheet if necessary.)  (a) Live the County in this District; California County outside of this District; State if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides.  Cleek here if the government, its agencies or employees is a named plaintiff. If this box is checked, go to item (b).					
County in this District.*			yees is a named plaintiff. If	California County outside of this District; State, if other than California, or Foreign Country	
JAMES	ROWN-LOS ANGEL	ES		y cale, a strict and contorner, or rolling Country	
(b) List the County in this District; California County outside of this District; State if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides.  Check here if the government, its agencies or employees is a named defendant. If this box is checked, go to item (c).					
	this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country	
hark				ELECTRONIC ARTS, INCSAN MATEO ELECTRONIC ARTS, INCDELAWARE	
(c) List the County in this District; California County outside of this District; State if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose.  Note In land condemnation cases, use the location of the tract of land involved.					
	this District:*		<u></u>	California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country	
LOS ANO	GELES				
* Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernardino, Riverside, Ventura, Santa Barbara, or San Luis Obispo Counties  Note: La land condemnation cases, use the location of the tract of land involved					
	TURE OF ATTORNEY (			Date MARCH 6, 2009	
Notice to Counsel/Parties: The CV-71 (JS-44) Civil Cover Sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed but is used by the Clerk of the Court for the purpose of statistics, venue and initiating the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)					
Key to Statis	stical codes relating to So	cial Security Cases:			
Q	Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of	f Cause of Action	
Hoste	861	НІА	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))		
I	862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)		
	863	DIWC.	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))		
	863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))		
	864	SSID	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended.		
	865	RSI	All claims for retirement (o U.S.C. (g))	old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42	