3

4

5

7

8

1011

12

1314

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

2627

28

NATURE OF ACTION

1. This is a civil action seeking damages and injunctive relief for copyright infringement arising under 17 U.S.C.A. §§ 501, et seq (referred to herein as the "Copyright Act"), and other related causes of action.

THE PARTIES

- 2. Plaintiff EP is an individual residing in Los Angeles County, California.
- 3. Upon information and belief, Defendant Fontana is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Universal Music Group and is a California limited liability company with its principal place of business in Los Angeles County, California.
- 4. Upon information and belief, Defendant Hoo Bangin is an independent record label with its principal place of business in Los Angeles County, California.
- Upon information and belief, Defendant Soft White is located in Los Angeles County, California.
- 6. Upon information and belief, Defendant Mack 10 is an individual residing in Los Angeles County, California.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 7. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338 in that the controversy arises under the Copyright Act (17 U.S.C. 101 et seq.), which is within the exclusive jurisdiction of federal courts under 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
 - 8. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants on the grounds

•

that they reside in and are doing business in this State and District as all Defendants have purposefully availed themselves of the jurisdiction of this Court by transacting business in this District and the State of California concerning the musical compositions and recordings at issue in this action.

9. Venue in this District is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 1400(a) on the grounds that Defendant companies maintain their principal places of business in this District and this District is where a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claim occurred. Upon information and belief, the subject recordings were recorded in whole or in part in this District.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 10. Plaintiff is an established music producer who, among other things, creates, arranges, programs, mixes, remixes, routes and processes audio recordings for various musical recording artists and record companies (hereinafter referred to as "Production Services").
- 11. In or around 2009, Plaintiff produced and composed underlying musical compositions at the request of Defendants Mack 10, Hoo Bangin' and Soft White (the "Musical Compositions").
- 12. In exchange for Plaintiff's agreement to produce and compose the underlying Musical Compositions, Defendants Mack 10, Hoo Bangin' and Soft White agreed, among other things, to remunerate Plaintiff EP in the amount of

 \$30,000.00 per underlying Musical Composition, for a total of \$60,000.00.

- 13. Without entering into a formal written agreement with Plaintiff EP, or obtaining his consent or authorization, Defendants took Plaintiff's Musical Compositions derived from his Production Services and embodied them in master recordings for purposes of creating, in part, Defendant Mack 10's most recent album.
- 14. Specifically, Plaintiff EP is the creator, producer and copyright owner of the underlying Musical Compositions of the songs "Big Balla" and "It's Your Life" (the "Infringing Songs") which recordings appear on the album entitled "Soft White" (the "Infringing Album") featuring the performance of Defendant Mack 10.
- 15. Registered in the United States Copyright Office under the Name of Ervin Pope, the case number for the underlying Musical Composition used in the song "Big Balla" is 1-426225565.
- 16. Registered in the United States Copyright Office under the Name of Ervin Pope, the case number for the underlying Musical Composition used in the song "It's Your Life" is 1-426378453.
- 17. As no formal agreement was ever reached between Plaintiff EP and Defendants regarding the subject underlying Musical Compositions, and Plaintiff EP was and has still not been remunerated for his Production Services, Plaintiff EP, at all times, maintained the exclusive right to reproduce and exploit the subject

underlying Musical Compositions and to distribute it to the public.

- 18. All rights of ownership to the underlying Musical Compositions belong to Plaintiff, as at no time did Defendants have any right to take, copy, convert, exploit or otherwise use Plaintiff's Musical Compositions.
- 19. Defendant Fontana ("Distributor") is, among other things, a music company and/or record label which distribute music throughout the world and upon information and belief has or had a recording agreement with Defendant Mack 10, whereby Mack 10 would provide to Distributor a series of musical compositions and Distributor would distribute and make those compilations available for commercial exploitation.
- 20. Without the express authorization of Plaintiff, the Infringing Songs were included on Mack 10's Album entitled "Soft White" which was originally distributed and released for sale by Distributor. The Infringing Album is currently being distributed to music retailers by Distributor and sold to the public.
- 21. Plaintiff EP was credited on the Infringing Album with authoring the Infringing Songs, however, as stated, Plaintiff EP never signed an agreement authorizing any of the Defendants to sell or exploit the Infringing Songs embodying Plaintiff's Musical Compositions for commercial gain.
- 22. Moreover, Plaintiff EP has never received any producer royalties in connection with the commercial exploitation of the Infringing Songs by the

Defendants or any other third parties.

- 23. Upon information and belief, Defendants knew that Plaintiff EP was the copyright owner and producer of the Musical Compositions at the time the Infringing Songs were released.
- 24. Defendants have willfully and intentionally disregarded the Plaintiff's copyright ownership interest in the Musical Compositions.
- 25. Defendants have further wrongfully used, distributed and sold the Infringing Songs without obtaining authorization from and/or compensating Plaintiff EP for their use.
- 26. Defendants' unauthorized use of the Infringing Songs and their subsequent distribution and sale of the Infringing Songs, without authorization and any payment whatsoever to Plaintiff EP for such use was and continues to be a direct infringement of Plaintiff's copyright in the Musical Compositions.
- 27. Upon information and belief, to date, the Infringing Album, containing the Infringing Songs, has sold a significant number of copies.
- 28. By certified letters, dated October 22, 2009 and November 13, 2009, Plaintiff notified Defendants that they cease and desist their unauthorized exploitation of the Infringing Songs and recordings and demanded that Defendants provide Plaintiff with, among other things, a full accounting of the number of Infringing Recordings and/or Infringing Albums which have been sold and/or

otherwise distributed by Defendants and the compensation received by Defendants as a result of such sale and/or distribution. Defendants, however, have refused to comply with Plaintiff's multiple requests.

- 29. Instead, Defendants have continued reproducing, distributing, promoting and offering for sale the unauthorized copies of the Infringing Songs on the Infringing Album containing Plaintiff's Musical Compositions in the United States and in foreign countries.
- 30. Plaintiff EP has engaged undersigned counsel and has agreed to pay counsel reasonable attorneys' fees for all services rendered in this action.
- 31. All conditions precedent to the institution of this action have been satisfied, discharged, excused, and/or waived.

COUNT I

COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT (17 U.S.C. §§ 501, et seq.) (AS TO ALL DEFENDANTS)

- 32. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 31 as if fully set forth herein.
- 33. As the owner of the original Musical Compositions (U.S. Reg. Cases 1-426378453 and 1-426225565), Plaintiff is entitled to exclusive use of these Musical Compositions without the unauthorized use by third parties.
 - 34. Plaintiff never granted Defendants a license to reproduce, distribute,

publicly perform, or in any way use, compile, or commercially exploit the Musical Compositions.

- 35. Plaintiff never authorized the use of the Musical Compositions on the Infringing Album or the subsequent distribution of the Infringing Album worldwide.
- 36. By including the Musical Compositions on the Infringing Album, Defendants have violated Plaintiff's copyright for the purpose of their own financial gain.
- 37. Despite being credited on the Infringing Album as clearly having produced the Musical Compositions, Plaintiff has received no compensation in the form of royalties and/or copyright ownership interests in and for the exploitation of the Musical Compositions.
- 38. Upon information and belief, all Defendants have collected fees and royalties from the sale of records containing the Infringing Songs and from downloads of the Infringing Songs from internet sources and have retained a portion of those fees and royalties without submitting the appropriate amount to Plaintiff.
- 39. Plaintiff EP is entitled to an injunction enjoining Defendants and their agents, employees, and all other persons in active concert or privity or in participation with them, from directly or indirectly infringing on Plaintiff's

copyright in the Musical Compositions or from continuing to market, offer, sell, dispose of, license, lease, transfer, display, advertise, reproduce, develop, or manufacture any works derived, copied, and/or sampled from the Infringing Songs, in whatever medium, or to participate or assist in any such activity

- 40. Defendants' conduct, including infringement, has been, and continues to be, willful and knowing and with utter and reckless disregard for Plaintiff's rights, and, as such, Defendants' direct and willful acts of infringement entitle Plaintiff EP to recover from Defendants damages pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504. Indeed, notwithstanding being put on notice of Plaintiff's claims, Defendants have failed and/or refused to act to remedy the infringement, and records containing the Musical Compositions continue to be sold.
- 41. Accordingly, Plaintiff is entitled to compensatory and/or statutory damages in an amount to be determined at trial, but in no event less than \$100,00.00, in addition to punitive damages, interest, costs and a statutory award of attorneys' fees.

COUNT II

CALIFORNIA STATE COMMON LAW COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT

- 42. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 31 as if fully set forth herein.
 - 43. Plaintiff never granted Defendants a license to reproduce, distribute,

4

12 13

11

14 15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28 publicly perform, or in any way use, compile, or commercially exploit the Infringing Songs.

- Plaintiff never authorized the use of the Infringing Songs on the Infringing Album or the subsequent distribution of the Infringing Album worldwide.
- By including the Infringing Songs on the Infringing Album, Defendants have violated Plaintiff's common law copyright in the Musical Compositions for the purpose of their own financial gain.
- Despite being credited on the Infringing Album as clearly having produced the Infringing Songs, Plaintiff has received no compensation in the form of royalties and/or copyright ownership interests in and for the exploitation of the Infringing Songs.
- Upon information and belief, all Defendants have collected fees and royalties from the sale of records containing the Infringing Songs and from digital downloads of the Infringing Songs from internet sources and have retained a portion of those fees and royalties without submitting the appropriate amount to Plaintiff.
- Plaintiff EP is entitled to an injunction enjoining Defendants and their 48. agents, employees, and all other persons in active concert or privity or in participation with them, from directly or indirectly infringing on Plaintiff's

copyright in the Infringing Songs or from continuing to market, offer, sell, dispose of, license, lease, transfer, display, advertise, reproduce, develop, or manufacture any works derived, copied, and/or sampled from the Infringing Songs, in whatever medium, or to participate or assist in any such activity

- 49. Defendants' conduct, including infringement, has been, and continues to be, willful and knowing and with full knowledge and utter and reckless disregard for Plaintiff's copyrights.
- 50. Indeed, notwithstanding being put on notice of Plaintiff's claims, Defendants have failed and/or refused to act to remedy the infringement, and records containing the Infringing Songs continue to be sold.
- 51. The infringement of each of Plaintiff's rights in and to each of the common law copyrighted Musical Compositions constituted a separate and distinct act of common law infringement.
- 52. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' infringement of Plaintiff's copyrights and exclusive rights under common law, Plaintiff is entitled to compensatory and/or statutory damages in an amount to be determined at trial, including Defendants' profits, but in no event less than \$100,00.00, in addition to punitive damages, interest, costs and a statutory award of attorneys' fees.

COUNT III <u>UNJUST ENRICHMENT</u> (AS TO ALL DEFENDANTS)

- 53. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 31 as if fully set forth herein.
- 54. Defendants have received and obtained substantial gains, advantages, and benefits by creating the false impression that they are owner and author of the Infringing Songs.
- 55. It is inequitable and unjust for Defendants to retain those gains, advantages, and benefits.
- 56. Defendants have enriched themselves at the expense and to the detriment of Plaintiff.
- 57. Defendants should not in equity and good conscience be permitted to retain the benefit bestowed on them by the Plaintiff.
- 58. As a result of the retention of such benefit, Defendants have been unjustly enriched and are jointly and severally liable to Plaintiff.
- 59. As a result of the unjust enrichment of Defendants, Plaintiff has incurred damages in an amount to be determined at trial, plus applicable interest, attorneys' fees, and costs.
- 60. Plaintiff is entitled to receive and obtain from Defendants the reasonable value of the sales of the Infringing Songs and Infringing Album, but in no event less than \$100,000.00, in addition to interest, costs and attorneys' fees.

COUNT IV ACCOUNTING

(AS TO ALL DEFENDANTS)

- 61. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 thru 31 as if fully set forth herein.
- 62. Upon information and belief, Defendants received, and continue to receive, profits from the sale of and contracts related to the Infringing Album and the Infringing Songs.
- 63. Accordingly, Plaintiff is entitled to a full accounting of all net profits received by Defendants in connection with the creation, marketing, distribution and sale of Infringing Album and the Infringing Songs.

COUNT V <u>UNFAIR COMPETITION</u> (AS TO ALL DEFENDANTS)

- 64. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 thru 31 as if fully set forth herein.
- 65. Defendants' actions pertaining to the Musical Compositions were designed to derive a commercial benefit.
- 66. The Defendants' conduct alleged above constitutes unlawful, unfair or fraudulent business practices in violation of California Business & Professions

Code §§ 17200 et seq.

- 67. Defendants induced Plaintiff into providing them with a copy of his copyright protected Musical Compositions with the implied promise that they would either compensate him for their use thereof or that they would refrain from using it.
- 68. Despite not reaching any formal agreement regarding any exploitation or use of Plaintiff's Musical Compositions, Defendants proceeded to embody the Musical Compositions into the Infringing Songs and exploit the Infringing Songs for commercial gain, while at the same time advertising and using Plaintiff's name and likeness in furtherance of this exploitation.
- 69. Defendants' infringing actions, including the unauthorized use and distribution of the Musical Compositions, created unfair competition in the marketplace for the copyrighted works.
- 70. The Defendants, and each of them, have continuously engaged in intentional and willful unfair trade practices and unfair competition against Plaintiff to Plaintiff's irreparable damage in an amount to be proven at time of trial, but which is at least \$100,000.00, as against all Defendants, and each of them, jointly and severally.

COUNT VI MISAPPROPRIATION

71. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 thru 31 as if fully set forth herein.

- 72. Without Plaintiff's authorization, Defendants intentionally and willfully have advertised, promoted, reproduced, manufactured, distributed, offered for sale, sold and/or otherwise exploited the Infringing Sound Recordings and Infringing Album by, among other things, selling unauthorized copies for financial gain.
- 73. Defendants' conduct as alleged constitutes and continues to constitutes an unlawful misappropriation of property under the laws of the State of California with respect to the exploitation and use of the Musical Compositions.

REQUEST FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Ervin Pope p/k/a EP respectfully requests that this Court enter a final judgment in its favor and against Defendants, jointly and severally, as follows:

- (i) Determining that Defendants have infringed on Plaintiff's copyright in the Musical Compositions;
- (ii) That Defendants, and their agents, employees, and all other persons in active concert or privity or in participation with them, be enjoined from directly or indirectly infringing on Plaintiff's copyright in the subject Sound Recordings or from continuing to market, offer, sell, dispose of, license, lease, transfer, display, advertise, reproduce, develop, or manufacture any works derived, copied, and/or sampled from the subject Sound Recordings, in whatever

COMPLAINT

Case 2:10-cv-04907 MRP -RC Document 1 Filed 07/02/10 Page 17 of 21

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District Judge Mariana P. Pfaelzer and the assigned discovery Magistrate Judge is Rosalyn M. Chapman.

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

CV10-4907 MRP (RCx)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.

The United States District Judge assigned to this case will review all filed discovery motions and thereafter, on a case-by-case or motion-by-motion basis, may refer discovery related motions to the Magistrate Judge for hearing and determination

NOTICE TO COUNSEL

A copy of this notice must be served with the summons and complaint on all defendants (if a removal action is filed, a copy of this notice must be served on all plaintiffs).

Subsequent documents must be filed at the following location:

[X]	Western Division					
1	312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8					
	Los Angeles, CA 90012					

Southern Division
411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053
Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516

Eastern Division
3470 Twelfth St., Rm. 134
Riverside, CA 92501

Fallure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.

UNITED STATES I CENTRAL DISTRIC	
ERVEN POPE Playa EP	CASE NUMBER
PLAINTIFF(S) V.	CV10 4907 MRP (R
FONTANA DISTRIBUTION, LLC, HOD DANGIN ENTERTAINMENT, INC., SOFT WHITE MUZIK, + DEFENDANT(S). DEPATCA ROLISON F/A/A MACK 10	SUMMONS
must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached counterclaim \square cross-claim or a motion under Rule 1	2 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer of Civil Procedure. The answer whose address is fully children for the following control of the first
	Clerk, U.S. District Court
JUL - 2 2010 Dated:	By: CHRISTOPHER POWERS Deputy Clerk SEAL (Seal of the Court)
[Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United State 60 days by Rule 12(a)(3)].	s agency, or is an officer or employee of the United States. Allowed

CV-01A (12/07)

SUMMONS

UNITED STAT DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT & CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box if ERVIN POPE p/k/a EP	you are representing yourself □))	DEFENDANTS FONTANA DISTRIBUTION, LLC, HOO BANGIN ENTERTAINMENT, INC., SOFT WHITE MUZIK and DEDRICK ROLISON p/k/a MACK 10					
(b) Attorneys (Firm Name, Addre yourself, provide same.) Daljinder Singh, Esq. (SBN: 2 SINGH, SINGH & TRAUBE Beverly Hills, CA 90212, Tel	261587) N, LLP, 400 S. Beverly Drive, S	Attorneys (If Known) Fischbach, Perlsetein, Lieberman & Almond, LLP 1875 Century Park East, Suite 1450 Los Angeles, California 90067						
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an X in one box only.)	SHIP OF PRINCIPAL X in one box for plaintiff	HIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES - For Diversity Cases Only in one box for plaintiff and one for defendant.)					
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	Citizen of This		PTF DEF	Incorporated or P	rincipal Place	PTF DEF □4 □4	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizen of Parties in Item III)	ship Citizen of Ano	ther State	□2 □2	Incorporated and of Business in Ar		□5 □5	
		Citizen or Subj	ect of a Foreign Country	□3 □3	Foreign Nation		□6 □6	
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in one box only.) 1V. Original Proceeding State Court Appellate Court Reopened								
V. REQUESTED IN COMPLAIN	,							
CLASS ACTION under F.R.C.P.	23: □ Yes 🗹 No	₩	MONEY DEMANDED	IN COMPLA	INT: \$\frac{100,000.0}{}	00		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cite the 17 U.S.C. §§ 501, et seq.	e U.S. Civil Statute under which	you are filing and wi	itc a brief statement of ca	use. Do not c	ite jurisdictional sta	atutes unless dive	rsity.)	
VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Place at	n X in one box only.)							
□ 410 Antitrust □ □ 430 Banks and Banking □ □ 450 Commerce/ICC □	130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment &	PERSONAL INJUR 1310 Airplane 1315 Airplane Produ Liability 1320 Assault, Libel a Slander 1330 Fed. Employers	PROPERTY 1 370 Other Frauc 1 371 Truth in Le 2 380 Other Perso Property Da	☐ 510 Inding nal ☐ 530 Image ☐ 535	Motions to Vacate Sentence Habeas Corpus General Death Penalty	□ 710 Fair Lab Act □ 720 Labor/M Relation: □ 730 Labor/M Reportin Disclosu	or Standards Igmt. s Igmt. ng &	
and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Service Exchange 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Act 892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act 895 Freedom of Info. Act 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice	Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loan (Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	Liability 1340 Marine 1345 Marine Produc Liability 1350 Motor Vehicle 1355 Motor Vehicle Product Liabili 1360 Other Personal Injury Med Malpracti 1365 Personal Injury Product Liabili 1368 Asbestos Perso Injury Product Liability IMMIGRATION	Product Lia Product Lia BANKRUPTC 422 Appeal 28 U 158	bility 550 555 555 610 620 625 630 640 640 650 660 660	Other Civil Rights Prison Condition PRERTURE / PENALTY PENALTY Agriculture Other Food & Drug Drug Related Scizure of Property 21 USC 881 Liquor Laws R.R. & Truck Airline Regs Occupational Safety /Health	□ 740 Railway □ 790 Other La Litigatio □ 791 Empl. Rc Security PROPERTY W 820 Copyrigi □ 830 Patent □ 840 Tradema	Labor Act abor n et. Inc. Act RIGHTS ats ark CURITY 995ff) ling (923) DIWW ale XVI e(g)) J.S. Plaintiff dant) d Party 26	
<u></u>			<i>^</i>	1 🚓				

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Case Number: _

AFTER COMPLETING THE FRONT SIDE OF FORM CV-71, COMPLETE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED BELOW.

UNITED STAT ... DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT G. CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASES: Has If yes, list case number(s):	s this action been pr	reviously filed in this court an	nd dismissed, remanded or closed? ☑ No □ Yes				
VIII(b). RELATED CASES: Have any cases been previously filed in this court that are related to the present case? No Yes If yes, list case number(s):							
□ B . □ C .	Arise from the same Call for determinating For other reasons w	e or closely related transactio ion of the same or substantial ould entail substantial duplic	ons, happenings, or events; or ly related or similar questions of law and fact; or ration of labor if heard by different judges; or ration of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.				
IX, VENUE: (When completing the	following informat	ion, use an additional sheet if	f necessary.)				
			f other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides. this box is checked, go to item (b).				
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country				
Los Angeles County		• ***					
			f other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides. If this box is checked, go to item (c).				
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country				
Los Angeles County							
(c) List the County in this District; (Note: In land condemnation ca			f other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH elaim arose. ved.				
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country				
LOS Angels Cont	7						
* Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernar Note: In land condemnation cases, us			San Luis Obispo Counties				
X. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (OR PRO PER);		Date 7/2/10				
or other papers as required by law	v. This form, approv	ved by the Judicial Conference	mation contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings e of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed ing the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)				
Key to Statistical codes relating to So	cial Security Cases;	:					
Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of	Cause of Action				
861	ніа	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))					
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)					
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))					
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))					
864	SSID	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended.					
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. (g))					

CV-71 (05/08) CIVIL COVER SHEET Page 2 of 2